TOWN OF ST. 1011

February, 2016

Table of Content

Introduction1
Functional Classifications1 - 2
Administrative Jurisdiction 2 - 3
Traffic Volumes 3 - 4
Critical Intersection Analysis: U.S. 41 and 94rd Avenue4
Other Intersections Considered for Analysis4-5
Accident Analysis6
Access Issues - Residential7 – 8
Access Issues – U.S. 41 Commercial8 – 9
US 231 Corridor Discussions 9 – 10
Recommendations 10 - 11

THOROUGHFARE PLAN

INTRODUCTION

The coordination of land use and thoroughfare development is critical to safe and efficient access to the residents of any community. Proper access planning for commercial areas, especially along a major corridor such as U.S. 41 affects quality of life issues for residents within a community, as well as those traversing through that community on their way to and from home.

The community of St. John is growing rapidly. Sound thoroughfare planning is needed to avoid the undesirable effects of congestion and to improve community cohesion. Thoroughfare planning will set the stage for the development of new roadways in the future as commercial and residential development outside of the present Town limits become absorbed into the community.

The Thoroughfare Plan will examine the present roadway conditions, traffic volumes and safety characteristics. Deficiencies will be noted and recommendations will be brought forth. As with any Plan, it should not be viewed in a static sense. Periodic updating will be necessary in future years to keep abreast of ever-changing conditions.

FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION

Functional classification of the streets in a community is one of the main concepts in thoroughfare planning. It is extremely important that the community understand that there is a hierarchy to the streets within their community. This hierarchy will set expectations about traffic volumes, speed, access control, right-of-way widths and the presence of "foreign" traffic expected to use the individual streets.

The hierarchy is set by the streets functional classification. At the top of the list is the *principal arterial* classification. U.S. 41 is such a route. It is meant to carry large volumes, including semi-truck traffic, from community to community with linkage well beyond the adjacent community.

The next classification is that of *minor arterial*. Streets like West 93rd Avenue meet this classification in that they carry significant volumes of traffic and provide connection beyond the community's border into other areas. They also serve as feeder routes to the major arterials

The next classification is the *collector street*. The last classification is the local street, which is the typical sub-division street. The collector street collects traffic from the local street network and funnels it to the minor or major arterial streets. Keilman Street is an example of a collector street.

The following information should be used to guide the development of new roadways and re-development of existing roadways in the Town:

Functional		Roadway	Access
Classification	Right-of-Way	Widths	Control
Principal Arterial	100'	4 lanes = 48'	No direct residential drives
			Minimize commercial drives
Minor Arterial	90'	2 lanes = 24'	No direct residential drives
Collector	70'	2 lanes = 22' min.	Minimize residential drives
Local	60'	2 lanes = 20' min.	Not controlled

Note that in areas of intense commercial development and added auxiliary lanes, the needed Right-of-Way width may be 120' or greater.

ADMINISTRATIVE JURISDICTION

Within Indiana, routes with the "U.S." or "State Route" designation come under the jurisdiction of the Indiana Department of Transportation (INDOT). This means that they have total control over the roadway and access thereto. Driveway location, speed limits, improvements and maintenance (including snow removal) come under INDOT's control. They often seek input from the communities that their route passes through, but the final decisions are theirs. U.S. 41 is such a route within St. John.

All routes other than U.S. 41 within the municipal boundary of St. John are the Town's responsibility, except those that are private and/or those not accepted by the Town. The jurisdictional responsibility is an important element in determining

who is responsible for maintenance work and what funding is available to the Town for maintenance and improvement. For example the Town gets no funding from the State for maintenance or improvements for U.S. 41. Likewise the State provides funds to the Town for its streets and does no maintenance on those streets.

TRAFFIC VOLUMES

Traffic volumes were obtained from the Northwestern Indiana Regional Planning Commission (NIRPC), INDOT, and the Town for selected roadways. The results are shown in the table that follows.

Parts of the West 93rd Avenue corridor through the Town of St. John have seen very high growth rates in recent years. Vacant land is still available adjacent to this corridor so it is likely that this high growth rate will continue in the short term. As the area matures, this growth rate will slow.

Considering the above, it is likely that West 93rd Avenue, which is two-lane presently, will have to be widened in the future. The volumes in 2002/2004 were in the range of 8,500 – 9,600 Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT). The volumes in 2015 were about 12,000 AADT. This is about a 2% annual growth which shows that the growth rate is maturing. A two-lane road can handle up to about 15,000 AADT. From 15,000 - 19,000, a three-lane roadway will suffice. Beyond 19,000 AADT, a four-lane roadway should be considered. If the present rate continues, 93rd Avenue to the west of US 41 should be considered for widening to three (3) lanes in about twelve (12) years, 2027, and on to a four (4) lane road in about fifteen (15) years thereafter. Additional traffic counts should be taken every three (3) to five (5) years to monitor the growth in traffic volumes to determine if this growth rate continues. For the time being, it is recommended that all future development along West 93rd Avenue be platted with a 45' half right-of-way in order to provide the Town with sufficient width to widen to four lanes and have auxiliary left turn lanes.

The other area of concern is West 109th Avenue west of U.S. 41. In 1995, the AADT was 9,367. By the year 2011, the volumes have increased to 11,190 (That is about 1.2% to 1.3% increase per year). As development moves south, this roadway may increase in traffic flow as well. This road also serves traffic traveling west into Illinois. Consequently, to the extent that the Town can have

input in new developments along this route, a 50' half right-of-way should also be platted with those developments.

CRITICAL INTERSECTION ANALYSIS: U.S 41 AND 93RD AVENUE

The highest volume intersection in the Town is the intersection of West 93rd Avenue and U.S. 41. The intersection was mentioned by members of the audience in the Community outreach meeting for the Comprehensive Plan Update held in the summer of 2015 as an intersection with considerable delay.

The operating condition of that intersection can be described by its Level of Service. Level of Service is expressed as a letter grade of "A" through "F", with "A" being best and "F" being worst. The Level of Service is defined in terms of delay time. Level of Service "A" means that there is a minimum of delay experienced by most motorists using the intersection. The Level of Service "F" means that motorists are experiencing a great deal of delay (i.e. sitting through multiple signal cycles before making it through the intersection). For areas such as this location, Level of Service "C" is desirable and "D" is the generally accepted minimum allowable. Traffic counts were collected in September of 2015 for the morning and afternoon peak traffic periods. The intersection operates at a Level of Service C for both of those periods. There is an issue with the length of the left turn lane on the west approach. The morning left turn volume is so high that the left turn traffic spills out into the thru lane and blocks that traffic periodically from reaching the signal. It is approximately 170 feet in length and should be lengthened to about 320 feet.

Other Intersections Considered for Analysis:

There are a number of key intersection that should be considered for additional analysis, especially when large developments (i.e., greater than 95 homes) are being proposed in the immediate vicinity. These include:

- 1. Calumet Avenue and West 93rd Avenue
- 2. Calumet Avenue and 101st Street
- 3. White Oak Avenue and West 93rd Avenue
- 4. White Oak Avenue and 101st

TRAFFIC VOLUME

				AADT	Number Of lanes	Year of Count	AADT	Year of Count
1.	Corridors			<u> </u>	O I AMARAG	004220		<u> </u>
1.	Corridors							
		US 41	North of 93rd Avenue	18,850	4	1999	32,350	2011
			South of 93rd Avenue	16,520	4	1999	23,154	2011
			South of 97th Lane	13,500	4	1999	22,965	2011
			South of 109th	13,380	4	1999	22,111	2011
		West 93rd Avenue	West of White Oak	8,375	2	2004	9,525	2011
			East of White Oak	9,647	2	2004		
			West of US 41	8,034	2	2002	12,000	2015
			East of US 41	5,312	2	2004	9,700	2015
2.	Other Locations							
			G 4 07 11 .			2004		2012
		Parrish	South of Joliet	763	2	2004	6,025	
		Joliet Street	West of Parrish	2,727	2	2004	3,507	
		Joliet Street	East of US 41	2,321	2 2	2003	6,025	
		West 85th	East of US 41	4,949	<i>L</i>	2004	5,345	2013
		Patterson Street	North of 93rd Avenue	3,053	2	2003	6,025	2011
		109th	West of US 41	9,367	2	*1995	11,190	
				,			,	

ACCIDENT ANALYSIS

The intersection of U.S. 41 and Joliet Street was mentioned at the Community Outreach Meeting as a high accident area.

Accident reports for the years 2001 through 2003 and 2012 through 2014were provided by the St. John Police Department. The accidents occurring were as follows:

	Joliet Street
2001	3
2002	3
2003	11

	Joliet Street
2012	8
2013	13
2014	18

The numbers of accidents increased dramatically in the year 2003 and have continued to increase in recent years. There were construction activities occurring along U.S. 41 in 2003 which increased congestion and contributed to that increase. Since 2005, the Town has widening the Joliet Street approach at the intersection to provide two westbound lanes. This benefited the intersection by lessening the delay for those turning right; however the accidents have continued to increase. The Town is planning to extend 96th Place to Joliet Street as part of joint public/private development. The improvements will include a traffic signal at the intersection with 96th. The existing intersection of Joliet Street and U.S. 41 will be modified to only permit right turn in and right turn out movements. The remaining movements will be able to use the new connection from Joliet Street to 96th Place.

ACCESS ISSUES - RESIDENTIAL

From the field review of street conditions and a general review of the map of the Town streets, it is evident that residential development has occurred in such a manner as to result in neighborhoods that are isolated from one another and without a network of collector streets crossing the community. West 93rd Avenue and West 109th Street are the only two east/west streets that go all the way through Town. U.S. 41 is the only north/south street. The lack of through streets puts added traffic on these three streets for local trips that could be more easily handled if there were alternative options. This added traffic results in increased congestion and accelerates the need to widen these roadways. Additionally, the isolation of neighborhoods discourages pedestrian and bike movements between neighborhoods.

It is strongly recommended that new developments be connected to adjacent developments and further that multiple opportunities be provided in these new developments for connections to future adjacent development.

It is suggested that the following connections be provided within the Town as future developments take place:

- 1. East to West connections
 - A. West 90th Avenue from Franklin Drive to Olcott Avenue
 - B. West 105th Street from Bull Run Drive to US 41 (location is conceptual and may vary from the location shown on the map)
- 2. North to South connections
 - A. White Oak Avenue from West 93rd Ave. to West 85th Avenue
 - B. Monfort Drive from Hoffman PI to West 93rd Avenue
 - C. Patterson Street new connection. Extend Keilman Street to PattersonStreet at Wall Street.
 - D. US 41 Frontage Road. Connect Bailey Street and Schneider Place from 106th Lane to 108th Avenue
 - E. Extend Parish Street to connect with the intersection with Clarmonte Drive.
 - F. Extend Clarmonte Drive from 93rd Avenue to Parish Ave.

The following map (Figure 1) graphically depicts these connections.




Figure 1: Recommended Roadway Connections

On the previous mentioned map, the street connections are recommended as collectors. It is strongly recommended that all new developments on arterial streets have only street access to other arterial streets. All lots on the arterial streets should have their access via the internal sub-division streets. This is recommended in order to reduce the number of conflict points along the arterial and to orient individual residential lot access onto local streets rather than arterial streets. This will also eliminate potential complaints by those living on the arterial streets about congestion, speeding and high traffic volumes.

New developments that are quite large on collector streets should also be oriented to provide lot access via the internal streets.

For large developments that encompass both sides of an arterial or a collector, the developer should consider a round-about or traffic circle if his Traffic Impact Study indicates that there is insufficient traffic volume to warrant a traffic signal and there is a poor Level of Service under two-way or four-way stop control.

ACCESS ISSUES - U.S. 41 COMMERCIAL

The U.S. 41 corridor is the primary commercial corridor for the Town of St. John. The Town has made efforts, with good success, at consolidating access to U.S. 41 for new developments. These efforts should continue as the greater the number of access points to U.S. 41, the more potential for congestion and accidents. New developments and redevelopment of existing lots should be required to have cross access agreements with adjacent parcels and connections between parking areas internal to the developments. Large-scale developments should also consider access connections to adjacent residential areas and frontage road connections.

Frontage roads can take the traditional form serving as a divider between the nearer outlot development and the larger development at the back of the lot. For lots that are not large enough for this type of development, back access roads are recommended. Also see the Comprehensive Plan for information concerning the future of Route 41. Care must be taken to design frontage roads at least 150' back from U.S. 41 to allow sufficient storage distance at signalized access points on U.S. 41. As the signalized access points are designed they should include right and left turn lanes on U.S. 41 and left turn lanes as a minimum on the side streets. Without the left turn lanes the signal will function less efficiently.

By interconnecting the commercial properties along U.S. 41, access can be controlled in a more organized manner. Consolidating access will likely mean signalized access control. Traffic signals work best if their spacing is 1000 feet or more. The Town should strive to achieve the spacing of major access points with that spacing in mind.

This may require that some lots be granted individual drives on a temporary basis until adjacent properties can be developed with the appropriate cross-access arrangements. Some type of covenant or condition of development approval should specifically and legally stipulate that these drives are temporary pending cross access to centralized signalized access points.

From a review of the development along U.S. 41, it is suggested that the Town attempt to direct future signalized access at or near the following locations:

- 1. Wall Street
- 2. 96th Avenue
- 3. 101st Avenue
- 4. 103rd Avenue
- 5. 105th Avenue

As stated earlier, INDOT has jurisdiction over U.S. 41. Consequently, the Town will need to work closely with INDOT, as they have in the past, to achieve the desired results concerning access to U.S. 41.

US 231 Corridor Discussion

The U.S. 231 corridor runs from U.S. 41 to the eastern Town Limits at Cline Avenue. The area between Parrish Avenue and Cline Avenue is rapidly developing into a commercial corridor with residential and office behind the corridor. The town has approved development plans that have minimized access onto U.S. 231 to keep from adding unnecessary access point along the route. The Town's vision for this corridor is for retail and/or office space fronting U.S. 231. A recent Traffic Study for the Mill Creek Subdivision included a corridor review of existing, approved and potential development in the vacant area between Parrish Avenue and Cline Avenue. Access for the vacant parcels along U.S. 231 was limited to the existing intersections and a single right-in/right-out drive between the intersections. Parrish Avenue and Cline Avenue are presently signalized. Park Place which is located between these two intersections will warrant a traffic signal in the future. Traffic volumes at full build-out will warrant

a 4-lane section with right turn lanes for the right-in/right-out drives and left turn lanes at the signalized intersections A raised 4 feet divided median is recommended throughout. Future development along U.S. 231 should provide a 60 foot half Right-of-Way order to provide the room for these improvements and utilities/sidewalks. The area between U.S. 41 and Parrish Avenue will be limited somewhat by the presence of the two rail lines. It is anticipated that the same 4 lane section will be needed in this area and the main entrance into the future proposed rail station development will be signalized. A secondary right-in/right-out drive may also be provided for additional access. The signalized intersection should have an eastbound left turn lane and a westbound right turn lane.

In the short term, the Town may consider the installation of left turn lanes on U.S. 231 at the intersections with Parrish Avenue and with Cline Avenue to provide left turning traffic a place to wait for the opposing gaps and not impede the thru movements.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The following are recommended actions resulting from this Thoroughfare Plan:

- 1. New developments on the various classifications of streets should have the required Right of Ways, as noted elsewhere in this plan, dedicated at the time of planning approval.
- 2. The west approach of 93rd Avenue to U.S.41 should be widened to lengthen the left turn lane to approximately 320 feet.
- 3. Access to U.S. 41 should be consolidated wherever and whenever the opportunity presents itself through re-development of existing properties. Frontage roads or cross access between properties should be required wherever possible to allow for traffic to move from development to development without having to use U.S. 41.
- 4. New residential sub-divisions should be linked to adjacent sub-divisions. Sub-divisions located on arterial or collector streets should not have direct driveway access to those streets but rather by way of the internal street system.

- 5. Specific linkages are recommended for improved circulation. These include:
 - A. East to West connections
 - 1. West 90th Avenue from Franklin Drive to Olcott Avenue
 - 2. West 105th Street from Bull Run Drive to US 41 (location is conceptual and may vary from the location shown on the map)
 - B. North to South connections
 - 1. White Oak Avenue from West 93rd Ave. to West 85th Avenue
 - 2. Monfort Drive from Hoffman PI to West 93rd Avenue
 - 3. Patterson Street new connection. Extend Keilman Street to PattersonStreet at Wall Street.
 - 4. US 41 Frontage Road. Connect Bailey Street and Schneider Place from 106th Lane to 108th Avenue
 - 5. Extend Parish Street to connect with the intersection with Clarmonte Drive.
 - 6. Extend Clarmonte Drive from 93rd Avenue to Parish Ave.
- 6. Access to U.S. 231 between Parrish Avenue and Cline Avenue should be limited to full access at Park Place and right in/right out between intersections. 60 feet of half R/W should be required of all new developments in this area for future roadway improvements.
- 7. There are a number of key intersections that the Town should consider further investigation if new residential development (with 95 or more homes) is proposed in their vicinity. These would include:
 - A. Calumet Avenue and West 93rd Avenue
 - B. Calumet Avenue and 101st Street
 - c. White Oak Avenue and West 93rd Avenue
 - D. White Oak Avenue and 101st

APPENDIX

Traffic Counts: 93 rd Avenue at U.S. 41	1
Traffic Counts: 93 rd Avenue east of U.S. 41	2
Traffic Counts: 93 rd Avenue west of U.S. 41	
Highway Capacity Analysis: 93 rd Avenue and U.S. 41 AM Peak	
Highway Capacity Analysis: 93 rd Avenue and U.S. 41 PM Peak	5

Traffic Counts: 93rd Avenue at U.S. 41

							TRAFFIC	COUNT SU	MMARY SHE	ET		ļ							-
	LOCATION:	US 41		and	93rd		ļ. —					DATE:	xxxxxxx	Χ					
	North Approx	ach on	US 41		East Appro	ach on	93rd		South App	roach on	US 41		West Appr		93rd		Total	Total	Total
TIME BEGIN	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	Right	Thru	Left	Total	N/S	E/W	
6:00	58	569	73	700	78	149	104	331	57	1067	147	1271	92	147	256	495	1971	826	2797
7:00 8:00	75	594	67	736	105	127	108	340	64	1061	140	1265	71	121	198	390	2001	730	2731
9:00 10:00 11:00																			
12:00 1:00 2:00 3:00																			
4:00	62	1111	94	1267	72	172	145	389	88	702	189	979	116	171	182	469	2246	858	3104
5:00	82	1092	106	1280	61	184	146	391	99	671	178	948	139	179	153	471	2228	862	3090

Traffic Counts: 93rd Avenue east of U.S. 41

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/17/2015

Page:

Site Reference: 0000000000004 Site ID: 200000000001

Location: 93EAST410

EASTHBOUND

File: D0917002.prn

Cicy: County:

93RD EAST SF 41

TIME	1 we	Service Services	Total
Make Mappy unlast state, having labor survey	and the second s	ka Augu Ajiji Sabri Mila Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba Saba Sa	MEN AND AND THE THE NAME WAS THE WAS THE WAS THE WAS THE WAS THE
89:00	256	173	429
10:00	527	New core	838
11:00	248	192	440
12:00	252	168	420
13:00	288	256	544
14:00	276	240	516
15:00	290	252	542
16:00	354	311	665
17:00	42.2	419	841
18:00	480	483	963
19:00	485	467	952
20:00	284	320	604
21:00	223	248	4 *** 2
22:00		191	337
23:00	101	96	197
24:00	52	57	109
	4684	, we have the second and the second	ng == wit, das \$60 (00.00) == 000 == 000 new
DAY TOTAL		47.1%	100%
PERCENTS	52.9%	47.11	1004
AM Times	10:00	10:00	
M Peaks.	527	311	
PM Times	19:00	18:00	
PM Peaks	485	483	

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Eri 9/18/2015

9722

100%

Site Reference: 0000000000004

Site ID: 200000000001 Location: 93EAST410 EASTHBOUND

DAY TOTAL

PERCENTS

AM Times

AM Peaks

PM Times

PM Peaks

5032

51.8%

417

18:00

484

File: D0917002.prn

City:

TIME	AST OF AL	the EC	Total
makes 1888 1880 1890 1887; selve hadin lakes door kinds oder selve make 1800 1800 1800 1800 1800	Any with their film and their film and their film their film their film their film their film their film and their film t	to dates refer many (right parts on the mane case). State (1970 mane) of the mane of the mane)	
Φ.	23	28	51
01:00	15	18	33
02:00	7	6	13
03:00	1/	Ğ	8
04:00	3 13	4	17
05:00	13	3	36
06:00	31	20	124
07:00	104	144	3.50
08:00	216	271	683
09:00	412	356	773
10:00	417	206	468
11:00	262		498
12:00	282	216	559
13:00	292	267	590
14:00	303	287	556
15:00	288	268	776
16.00	381	395	907
17:00	445	462	992
18:00	484	50B	835
19:00	392	443	930 930
20:00	243	287	351
	167	184	
21:00 22:00	124	132	256
	76	100	176
23:00 24:00	52	7.8	130

4690

48.2%

10:00

18:00

508

356

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Sat 9/19/2015

Site Reference: 000000000004 Site ID: 200000000001 Location: 93EAST410 EASTHBOUND

File: 00917002.prn

City: County:

TIME	ist of 41	2 N== E5	Total
the state agent game among among dates white their dates class, among above game game and the test of	die Ann sons west, west dann mer Anny 1989 1980 1980 tale dan dan men men men men men men men men men me		Steel Task vann, Math. Co., 1997, ARC 1997, AR
1:00	26	47	7.3
2:00	40	32	7.2
3:00	10	13	23
4:00	19	g	28
5:00	7	5 6	12
6:00	14	6	20
7:00	37	11	48
	84	31	115
8:00	131	7.6	207
9:00	239	166	405
0:00	300	211	511
1:00	317	262	579
2:00	372	311	683
3:00		302	606
4:00	304	332	641
5:00	309	255	575
6:00	320	246	523
7:00	277		590
0:00	334	256	471
9:00	250	221	507
0:00	218	269	
1:00	167	185	352
2:00	122	143	265
3:00	117	118	235
4:00	84	92	176
anne apper region years gland mouse doors states years. See Jan. Area. 2011. 2011. 2011. 2011.	no mer per une ren, has mer see selv n'et ett met eelt der mer hat bet met het bet eel net eel net met met met met met eel net eel net met met met eel net eel net met met met met met met met met met m	3619	and the same and t
TOTAL	53.2%	46.8%	100%
ENTS	33.21	30.00	AND I
imes	12:00	12:00	
eaks	317	262	
CHAR			
imes	13:00	15:00	
rines en la company	372	332	

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Sun 9/20/2015

Page:

Site Reference: 0000000000004 Site ID: 200000000001 Location: 93EAST410 EAS

EASTHBOUND

File: D0917002.prn City: County:

93	eretof 41		per many year stage was made and the first stage of the s
was seen was made and the territories and the	The control of the co	2	Total
4:41000	The same of the sa	HER EE	
ngan pana, ahi sarina dinah 3000 4000 4000 4000 angah gang alayi, layar lawir salah lawar hadi. Mel 1	the last state with the other last date and the last state of the	and their trees have trees and trees trees alone their trees alone their trees alone trees are t	
01:00	62	65	127
02:00	31	3.8	69
03:00	27	1.5	42
04:00	15	JA. JAN	26
05:00	10	8	18
06:00	14	3	17
07:00	2.4	8	32
08:00	4.4	21	65
09:00	116	53	169
	179	109	288
10:00	25.4	147	401
11:00	298	257	555
12:00	299	301	600
13:00	231	257	488
14:00	240	252	492
15:00	247	239	486
16:00	274	224	498
17:00		257	518
18:00	261	220	431
19:00	211	166	351
20:00	185	189	323
21:00	134	124	212
22:00	8.8	cn	113
23:00	53	28	53
24:00	25	20	74
the common state and the state of the state	2222	60 per uni una ditu mia anni mia majurar majur	6374
Y TOTAL RCENTS		47.8%	100%
A Times	12:00	12:00	
Peaks	298	257	
Times	13:00	13:00	
M Peaks	299	301	

Traffic Counts: 93rd Avenue west of U.S. 41

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Thu 9/24/2015

Page:

Site Reference: 0000000000004 Site ID: 000000000093 Location: 93WEST410 - WARE TO A File: D0924002.prn City: ST. TOHAL County: LAC

TIME	w.B		Total
11:00 12:00 13:00 14:00 15:00 16:00 17:00 18:00 19:00 20:00 21:00 22:00 23:00 24:00	0 30 314 285 424 464 510 495 446 426 354 204 78	0 58 290 285 386 408 565 585 421 326 182 133 74 27	0 88 604 570 810 672 1075 1080 867 752 536 337 152 72
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS	4075 52.2%	3740 47.8%	7815 1004
AM Times AM Peaks	12:00	12:00 58	
PM Times PM Peaks	17:00 510	18:00 , 585	

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Fri 9/25/2015

Location: 93WEST410

File: D0924002.prn City: Sound County: 1

TIME	1 ws	Z X EB	Total
ware ship anguy laws mand langue shime lath, think ship ware laws ware saper come more three latter ship.	The state and th	AND THE WAY AND THE THE WAY WAY THE WAY WAY THE	at the clinic and the space spect district short clinic clinic clinic cours and cours and great spect cours bear spect spect spect clinic specific spect clinic specific spect clinic s
A1 A1	20	16	36
01:00	17	9	26
62:00	12	10	22
03:00	1.4	10	2.4
04:00	35	2.8	63
05:00	94	99	193
05:00	162	321	503
07:00		389	693
08:00	304	370	663
09:00	293	338	592
10:00	254	329	589
11:00	260	338	649
12:00	311		710
13:00	348		638
14:00	322	316	895
15:00	470	425	1019
16:00	533		1093
1.7:00	529	564	1060
18:00	503	557	948
19:00	446	502	705
20.00	406	299	
21:00	317	205	522
22:00	298	220	518
23.00	227 -	256	383
24:00	101	69	170
and the second s	6296 49.61	ente entre est del tital	mental paractic data and and the tile term was per our data and term and the part and the data to
Y TOTAL RCENTS	6296 49.61	50.48	100%
MCLNIS	(S) - M(B)		
Times	12:00	DE:00	
Peaks	311	389	
Lieung			
T' mes	16:00	17:00	
Peaks	533	564	

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Sat 9/26/2015

Site Reference: 0000000000004 Site ID: 000000000093 Location: 93WEST410

DOM:

File: D0924002.prn City: STATIAL County: LEE

TIME	1	2	Total
1 LPic	with WS	2 5 5	The state of the s
are yet one of the country to the first the same of the country to the coun	and the state of t	The first with west were the face had the water when they does had fact only five may are one one was tree and shee we	A Search of A Search of Se
01:00	5.4	51	105
02:00	22	23	45
03:00	14	15	2.9
	13	7	20
04:00	22	23	45
05:00	40	41	81
06:00	69	105	174
07:00		260	424
08:00	164	385	683
09:00	296	453	822
10:00	369	474	633
11:00	359	484	948
12:00	464		914
13:00	457	457	900
14:00	447	453	7.78
15:00	406	372	779
16:00	420	359	795
17:00	407	388	627
18:00	₫ O 2	425	757
19:00	410	347	
20:08	311	320	631
21:00	15° E	180	4.45
22:00	197	171	368
23:00	1.69	1.05	274
24:00	119	80	199
		en. Her van see per 160 160 apl 160 jag 160 ja	and the operate win final part one was too by an operation was seen one one was been until
Y TOTAL	5896	5978	11874
RCENTS	49.7%	50.3%	100₹
Times	12:00	12:00	
Peaks	464	484	
et a monte	13:00	13:00	
Times	457	457	

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Sun 9/27/2015

Site Reference: 00000000000004 Site ID: 000000000093

- Balleria Location: 93WEST410

File: b0424002.brn City: 57 John County: | AVE

	the control of the co	the control of the co	A PER MANY MANY MANY SHEET SHEET SHEET MANY MANY MANY MANY MANY MANY MANY MANY	
ALL MAN IN THE PARTY SHAPE MANY PARTY PART		2	Total	
TIME	warm with	<u> </u>		
the depart time, makes spart, about where about about about which was black and, should space away a	ne dan men was sell sell man san man san san san han san men day yan man man man man yan sell sell sell sell sell sell sell sel	and the same that the same tha		
59 50	72	60	132	
01:00	40	27	67	
02:00	12	15	27	
03:00		13	29	
04:00	16	11	22	
05:00	11	25	52	
06:00	2.7	67	112	
07:00	45		227	
09:00	94	133	484	
09:00	224	260	565	
10:00	2.62	303		
11:00	365	415	780	
	337	376	713	
12:00	339	466	805	
13:00	450	414	854	
14:00	389	395	784	
15:00		326	715	
16:00	389	295	655	
17:00	3.60	349	697	
18:00	348	291	628	
19:00	337	178	450	
20:00	272		279	
21:00	159	120	211	
22:00	120	91	9.9	
23:00	65	34	60	
24:00	32	28	0.0	
24:00		warmen and will also had also had fine factoring they are not man also and any sure also the difference and man	The course and the first hand and the first hand th	
or our care and an over-year time our lines (MI)	4765	4692	9457	
Y TOTAL	50.4%	49.6%	100%	
RCENTS	50,41			
3 5440 LF	11:00	11:00		
Times	365	415		
n Peaks	363			
of Annaban and the second of	14:00	13:00		
M Times	450	466		
M Peaks	7 7 7			

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Mon 9/28/2015

Site Reference: 0000000000004

Site ID: 0000000000093

location: 93WEST410

File: D0924002.prn City: County:

to other year, had gover two was more well over your last the part of \$600 Mg. 2005 and \$600 Mg.	The same last, that the same space was made and the same space space was same was made that the same same and the same same same same same same same sam	a thin (ND An) and (ND ND N	Signal and Allen, many state, many state, many and state, state, many state, st
TIME	1 148	* EB	er man opp slave der men mer han var han var det veld den men men men bezig den dans blak man den den men den
the time the part and the same that were the cold that the same and the same that the same time time.	was one over the day was and wide the last that the said will all the contract of the contract	or and state that they also also take the man take the transfer of the state of the	
77 00	1.4	21	35
01:00	4	10	14
02:00	13	€	19
03:00	îž	12	24
04:00	29	29	58
05:00	122	112	234
06:00		310	521
07:00	211	414	695
08:00	281	341.	646
09:00	3.07		565
10:00	243	322	563
11:00	251	312	560
12:00	264	296	530
13:00	260	270	615
14:00	306	309	
15:00	431	438	849
16:00	486	9,53	919
	556	514	1070
17:00	601	543	1144
18:00	449	333	782
19:00	353	303	65E
20:00	237	143	380
21:00		108	247
22:00	139	42	111
23:00	69	36	64
24:00	28		HESE.
a prompt were about their parts that their power were about their and their their best three part and their terms.		5027	TABLE AND SPET WARE CARD SHAPE WHEN SHAPE AND AND WHEN WHEN AND WERE MADE WHEN AND W
DAY TOTAL		49.88	100%
PERCENTS	50.2%	43.00	
	09:00	08:00	
AM Times	307	414	
AM Peaks	3.V/h		
all arrests	18:00	18:00	
PM Times	601	543	
PM Peaks	WWW		

First Group Engineering VOLUME SUMMARY Tue 9/29/2015

Page:

Site Reference: 0000000000004

Site ID: 0000000000093 Location: 93WEST410 File: D0924007.prn City: 67.36 HAI County: LAKE

And the last team team team team and the last team and te	May, Alber dat man high final has the variety was the final high transmission and was any one was press took by your man to	san un de sec per une une un un un en	Total
	LES NAS	A STATE OF THE STA	par parts will some some some some some some some some
01:00 02:00 03:00 04:00 05:00 06:00	21 5 12 20 39 108	11 20 3 9 33 90	32 25 15 29 72 198
DAY TOTAL PERCENTS	205 55.3t	166 44.7%	371 1004
AM Times AM Peaks	06:00	06:00 90	
PM Times PM Peaks			

Highway Capacity Analysis: 93rd Avenue and U.S. 41 AM Peak

HCS+: Signalized Intersections Release 5.4

Analyst:

Agency: Date:

9/21/2015 Period: am peak

Project ID: US 41 and 93rd

Inter.:

Area Type: All other areas

Jurisd:

Year :

Project ID: E/W St: 93r		d 93rd			N/S	St:							
		STG	INIZIT.T	ZED TN	TERSE	CTION	SUMMA	RY					
	Eastbo			stboun			thbou		Sou	ıthboı	ınd		
	L T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	Т	R		
No. Lanes	1 1	 0		1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	i	
LGConfig	1	R	L	TR		Ĺ	TR		L	TR		-	
Volume	256 147	92	104	149	78	147	1067	57	73	569	58		
Lane Width	12.0 12.	0	12.0	12.0		12.0			12.0	12.0			
RTOR Vol		0 [0			0			0		
Duration	0.25	Area I											
Phase Combi	mation 1	2	S19	gnal O 4	perat I	TONS	5	6	7		 3		
EB Left	nacion i	A	3		l NB	Left	A	A	,		-		
Thru	A	A				Thru		A					
Right		A			İ	Right		A					
Peds					İ	Peds							
WB Left	A	A			SB	Left	A	A					
Thru		A			İ	Thru		A					
Right		A				Right	-	A					
Peds						Peds							
NB Right					EB	Right							
SB Right					WB	Right		2 - (
Green	10.						9.0	35 ()				
Yellow	3.0						3.0	3.0					
All Red	1.0	1.0					1.0 Cvc	1.0 le Ler	nath:	90.0		secs	5
		Intersec	tion	Perfo	rmanc	e Sumn	_						
Appr/ Lan		dj Sat		atios			Group	App	roach	1			
Lane Gro		ow Rate			_								
	acity	(s)	v/c	g/	С	Delay	LOS	Dela	ay LOS	3			
Eastbound												,	
L 35	8 1	770	0.78	3 0.	38	35.8	D						
TR 39		755	0.67	7 0.	22	36.3	D	36.0) D				
Westbound													
L 34		770	0.32	-	38								
TR 39	3 1	767	0.63	3 0.	22	34.8	С	30.2	2 C				
Northbound							_						
L 38		770	0.42		53	12.8	В	~ ~ ~					
TR 13	69 3	520	0.89	0.	39	33.6	С	31.2	2 C				
Southbound													
L 26		770	0.30		53	16.6	В		, ~				
TR 13	60 3	497	0.50	0.	39	21.2	C	20.7	7 C				

Intersection Delay = 29.3 (sec/veh) Intersection LOS = C

HCS+: Signalized Intersections Release 5.4

Phone:

Fax:

E-Mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS______

Analyst:

Agency/Co.:

Date Performed: 9/21/2015
Analysis Time Period: am peak

Intersection:

Area Type:

All other areas

Jurisdiction: Analysis Year:

Project ID: US 41 and 93rd

E/W St: 93rd

N/S St:

_____VOLUME DATA______

LTRLTR	L T R
Volume 256 147 92 104 149 78 147 1067 57	73 569 58
% Heavy Veh 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1	2 2 2
	0.92 0.92 0.92
PK 15 Vol 70 40 25 28 40 21 40 290 15	20 155 16
Hi Ln Vol	
% Grade 0 0 0	0
0 01440	1900 1900
	1900 1900
ParkExist	
NumPark	
No. Lanes 1 1 0 1 2 0	1 2 0
LGConfig L TR L TR L TR	L TR
Lane Width 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0 12.0	12.0 12.0
RTOR Vol 0 0 0	0
	79 681
%InSharedLn	
	1.000 0.000
1.000 0.000 1.000 0.000 1.000 0.000	0.093
	0.033
reds bikes	
Dubes 10 0	
%InProtPhase 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0

Duration 0.25 Area Type: All other areas

OPERATING PARAMETERS_____

	Eastbound		Westbound			No	rthbound	So	uthbound	
	L	${f T}$	R	L	T	R	ļ L	T R	L	TR
									_	
Init Unmet	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Arriv. Type	3	3		3	3		3	3	3	3
Unit Ext.	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	3.0	3.0
I Factor	ĺ	1.000)		1.000)	1	1.000		1.000
Lost Time	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Ext of g	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
Ped Min g	j	3.2		j	3.2		Ì	3.2		3.2

DН	IASE	DATA
\sim \sim	IASE	$D_{U} + V_{I}$

				PF	IASE	DAIA						
—— Pha	se Combination	1	2	3	4			5	6	7	8	
EB	Left Thru Right Peds	A	A A A			NB	Left Thru Right Peds	A	A A A			
WB	Left Thru Right Peds	A	A A A			SB	Left Thru Right Peds	A	A A A			
NB	Right				İ	EB	Right					
SB	Right					WB	Right					.6
	een Llow L Red	10.0 3.0 1.0	20.0 3.0 1.0					9.0 3.0 1.0	35.0 3.0 1.0			70.0 5

Cycle Length: 90.0 secs

__VOLUME ADJUSTMENT AND SATURATION FLOW WORKSHEET______

Volume Adjus	stment Eas L	; stbour T	nd R	Wes	stbour T	nd R	No:	thbou T	and R	Sou	ıthboı T	ınd R
Volume, V PHF Adj flow No. Lanes Lane group Adj flow Prop LTs Prop RTs	278 1 L 278	147 0.92 160 1 TR 260 0 0.0	92 0.92 100 0	113 1 L 113 1.00	149 0.92 162 1 TR 247 0 0.0		160 L 160 1.00	1067 0.92 1160 2 TR 1222 0 0.0	0.92 62 0	79 1 L 79 1.00	569 0.92 618 2 TR 681 0 0.0	58 0.92 63 0

Saturation Flow Rate Eastbound	110000	determine the Northbound L TR	adjustment factors) Southbound L TR
LG L TR	L TR 1900 1900	1900 1900	1900 1900
so 1900 1900	1 1 0	1 2 0	1 2 0
Lanes 1 1 0	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000
fW 1.000 1.000	0.980 0.980	0.980 0.980	0.980 0.980
fHV 0.980 0.980	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000
fG 1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000
fP 1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000
fBB 1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000
fA 1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 0.952	1.000 0.952
fLU 1.000 1.000	0.948	0.992	0.986
fRT 0.942	0.950 1.000	0.950 1.000	0.950 1.000
fLT 0.950 1.000	0.304	0.256	0.103
Sec: 0.325	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000	1.000 1.000
fLpb 1.000 1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
fRpb 1.000	1770 1767	1770 3520	1770 3497
s 1770 1755	566	476	191
Sec. 605	CAPACITY AND LOS	WORKSHEET	
Capacity Analysis ar	nd Lane Group Capacity		

Appr/ Mvmt	Lane Group	Adj Flow Rate (v)	Adj Sat Flow Rate (s)	Flow Ratio (v/s)	Green Ratio (g/C)	Lane Gr Capacity (c)	v/c Ratio	
Eastbound				" 0 11	0.111	197	1.00	
Prot		197	1770	# 0.11	0.267	161	0.50	
Perm		81	605	0.13	0.28	358	0.78	
Left	L	278			0.30	330	0.70	
Prot								
Perm					2 22	390	0.67	
Thru	TR	260	1755	# 0.15	0.22	390	0.07	
Right								
Westbound					0 111	197	0.57	
Prot		113	1770	0.06	0.111	151	0.00	
Perm		0	566	0.00	0.267		0.32	
Left	L	113			0.38	348	0.32	
Prot								
Perm						202	0.63	
Thru	TR	247	1767	0.14	0.22	393	0.05	
Right								
Northboun	ıd				- 100	1 7 7	0.90	
Prot		160	1770	# 0.09	0.100		0.00	
Perm		0	476	0.00	0.433	206	0.42	
Left	L	160			0.53	383	0.42	
Prot	_							
Perm							0.89	
Thru	TR	1222	3520	# 0.35	0.39	1369	0.69	
Right						8		
Southbour	nd					4.55	0.45	
Prot		79	1770	0.04	0.100		0.45	
Perm		0	191	0.00	0.433		0.00	
Left	L	79			0.53	260	0.30	
Prot	_							
Perm						1260	0.50	
Thru	TR	681	3497	0.19	0.39	1360	0.50	
Right							0.70	

Sum of flow ratios for critical lane groups, Yc = Sum (v/s) = 0.70 Total lost time per cycle, L = 16.00 sec Critical flow rate to capacity ratio, Xc = (Yc)(C)/(C-L) = 0.85

Control Delay an Appr/ Ratios		Unf Prog		Lane Incre			Res Del	Lane Group		Approach		
Lane Grp	v/c	g/C	Del d1	Adj Fact	Grp Cap	k	d2	d3	Delay	LOS	Delay	LOS
East L TR	bound 0.78 0.67	0.38	25.5 32.0	1.000		0.33	10.3	0.0	35.8 36.3	D D	36.0	D
West L TR	0.32 0.63	0.38	19.5 31.6	1.000		0.11	0.5	0.0	20.0+ 34.8	C C	30.2	С
Nort L TR	hbound 0.42 0.89	0.53	12.1 25.7	1.000		0.11	0.7	0.0	12.8 33.6	B C	31.2	С
Sout L TR	0.30 0.50	0.53	15.9 20.9	1.000		0.11	0.7	0.0	16.6 21.2	B C	20.7	С

SUPPLEMENTAL PERMITTED LT WORKSHEET_____

for exclusive lefts

IOI EYCIUBIVE ICICB				
Input	EB	WB	NB	SB
Opposed by Single(S) or Multiple(M) lane approach				
Cycle length, C 90.0 sec				
Total actual green time for LT lane group, G (s)	34.0	34.0	48.0	48.0
Effective permitted green time for LT lane group, g(s)	24.0	24.0	39.0	39.0
Opposing effective green time, go (s)	20.0	20.0	35.0	35.0
Number of lanes in LT lane group, N	1	1	1	1
Number of lanes in opposing approach, No	1	1	2	2
Adjusted LT flow rate, VLT (veh/h)	278	113	160	79
Proportion of LT in LT lane group, PLT	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
Proportion of LT in opposing flow, PLTo	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Adjusted opposing flow rate, Vo (veh/h)	247	260	681	1222
	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
Lost time for LT lane group, tL	1.00	1.00		
Computation	6.95	2.83	4.00	1.98
LT volume per cycle, LTC=VLTC/3600	1.000		0.952	
Opposing lane util. factor, fLUo	6.18	6.50	8.94	16.05
Opposing flow, Volc=VoC/[3600(No)fLUo] (veh/ln/cyc)	0.10	0.0	0.0	0.0
gf=G[exp(- a * (LTC ** b))]-tl, gf<=g	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Opposing platoon ratio, Rpo (refer Exhibit 16-11)		0.78	0.61	0.61
Opposing Queue Ratio, qro=Max[1-Rpo(go/C),0]	0.78		13.64	
gq, (see Exhibit C16-4,5,6,7,8)	11.13			
gu=g-gq if gq>=gf, or = g-gf if gq <gf< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>25.36</td><td></td></gf<>			25.36	
n=Max(gq-gf)/2,0)	5.57	5.91		15.24
PTHo=1-PLTo	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
PL*=PLT[1+(N-1)g/(gf+gu/EL1+4.24)]	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
EL1 (refer to Exhibit C16-3)	1.65	1.67	2.54	4.34
EL2=Max((1-Ptho**n)/Plto, 1.0)				
fmin=2(1+PL)/g or $fmin=2(1+Pl)/g$	0.17	0.17	0.10	0.10
qdiff=max(qq-qf,0)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
fm = [gf/g] + [gu/g] / [1+PL(EL1-1)], (min=fmin;max=1.00)	0.32	0.30	0.26	0.10
flt=fm=[gf/g]+[gu/g]/[1+PL(EL1-1)]+[gdiff/g]/[1+PL(EL2 or flt=[fm+0.91(N-1)]/N**	-1)],(fmin<=	fm<=1.	00)
Left-turn adjustment, fLT	0.325	0.304	0.256	0.103

For special case of single-lane approach opposed by multilane approach, see text.

SUPPLEMENTAL PERMITTED LT WORKSHEET_

for shared lefts

Input

EB WB NB SB

Opposed by Single(S) or Multiple(M) lane approach
Cycle length, C 90.0 sec
Total actual green time for LT lane group, G (s)
Effective permitted green time for LT lane group, g(s)
Opposing effective green time, go (s)
Number of lanes in LT lane group, N

^{*} If Pl>=1 for shared left-turn lanes with N>1, then assume de-facto left-turn lane and redo calculations.

^{**} For permitted left-turns with multiple exclusive left-turn lanes, flt=fm. For special case of multilane approach opposed by single-lane approach or when gf>gq, see text.

```
Number of lanes in opposing approach, No
Adjusted LT flow rate, VLT (veh/h)
                                                        0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Proportion of LT in LT lane group, PLT
Proportion of LT in opposing flow, PLTo
Adjusted opposing flow rate, Vo (veh/h)
Lost time for LT lane group, tL
Computation
LT volume per cycle, LTC=VLTC/3600
                                                        1.000 1.000 0.952 0.952
Opposing lane util. factor, fLUo
Opposing flow, Volc=VoC/[3600(No)fLUo] (veh/ln/cyc)
gf=G[exp(- a * (LTC ** b))]-tl, gf<=g
Opposing platoon ratio, Rpo (refer Exhibit 16-11)
Opposing Queue Ratio, qro=Max[1-Rpo(go/C),0]
gq, (see Exhibit C16-4,5,6,7,8)
gu=g-gq if gq>=gf, or = g-gf if gq<gf
n=Max(gq-gf)/2,0)
PTHo=1-PLTo
PL*=PLT[1+(N-1)g/(gf+gu/EL1+4.24)]
EL1 (refer to Exhibit C16-3)
EL2=Max((1-Ptho**n)/Plto, 1.0)
fmin=2(1+PL)/g or fmin=2(1+Pl)/g
gdiff=max(gq-gf,0)
fm = [gf/g] + [gu/g] / [1+PL(EL1-1)], (min=fmin; max=1.00)
flt=fm=[gf/g]+[gu/g]/[1+PL(EL1-1)]+[gdiff/g]/[1+PL(EL2-1)], (fmin<=fm<=1.00)
or flt=[fm+0.91(N-1)]/N**
Left-turn adjustment, fLT
For special case of single-lane approach opposed by multilane approach,
see text.
* If Pl>=1 for shared left-turn lanes with N>1, then assume de-facto
  left-turn lane and redo calculations.
** For permitted left-turns with multiple exclusive left-turn lanes, flt=fm.
For special case of multilane approach opposed by single-lane approach
or when gf>gq, see text.
                SUPPLEMENTAL PEDESTRIAN-BICYCLE EFFECTS WORKSHEET
Permitted Left Turns
                                                                           SB
                                                                     NB
                                                               WB
                                                        EB
Effective pedestrian green time, gp (s)
Conflicting pedestrian volume, Vped (p/h)
Pedestrian flow rate, Vpedg (p/h)
occpedg
Opposing queue clearing green, gq (s)
Eff. ped. green consumed by opp. veh. queue, gq/gp
OCCpedu
Opposing flow rate, Vo (veh/h)
 occr
Number of cross-street receiving lanes, Nrec
 Number of turning lanes, Nturn
 TdgA
 Proportion of left turns, PLT
 Proportion of left turns using protected phase, PLTA
 Left-turn adjustment, fLpb
 Permitted Right Turns
 Effective pedestrian green time, gp (s)
 Conflicting pedestrian volume, Vped (p/h)
 Conflicting bicycle volume, Vbic (bicycles/h)
 Vpedg
 OCCpedg
 Effective green, g (s)
 Vbicg
```

OCCbicg

occr

Number of cross-street receiving lanes, Nrec

Number of turning lanes, Nturn

ApbT

Proportion right-turns, PRT

Proportion right-turns using protected phase, PRTA

Right turn adjustment, fRpb

SUPPLEMENTAL UNIFORM DELAY WORKSHEET

Cycle length, C 90.0 sec	EBLT	WBLT	NBLT	SBLT
Adj. LT vol from Vol Adjustment Worksheet, v	278	113	160	79
v/c ratio from Capacity Worksheet, X	0.78	0.32	0.42	0.30
Protected phase effective green interval, g (s)	10.0	10.0	9.0	9.0
Opposing queue effective green interval, gq	11.13	11.82	13.64	30.48
Unopposed green interval, gu	12.87	12.18	25.36	8.52
Red time $r=(C-g-gq-gu)$	56.0	56.0	42.0	42.0
Arrival rate, qa=v/(3600(max[X,1.0]))	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.02
Protected ph. departure rate, Sp=s/3600	0.492	0.492	0.492	0.492
Permitted ph. departure rate, Ss=s(gq+gu)/(gu*3600)	0.31	0.31	0.20	0.24
XPerm	0.46	0.20	0.34	0.41
XProt	1.04	0.42	0.51	0.25
Case	2	1	1	1
Queue at beginning of green arrow, Qa	4.32	1.76	1.87	0.92
Queue at beginning of unsaturated green, Qu	2.82	0.37	0.61	0.67
Residual queue, Qr	0.18	0.00	0.00	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1	25.5	19.5	12.1	15.9

__DELAY/LOS WORKSHEET WITH INITIAL QUEUE______

Appr/	Unmet	Dur. Unmet			Initial Queue	Unmet		Group	**
Lane Group	Demand Q veh	Demand thrs.	Unadj. ds	_	Param. u	Demand Q veh	Delay d3 sec	_	
Eastbour	nd				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
L	0.0	0.00		25.5	0.00	0.0	0.0	35.8	
TR	0.0	0.00	35.0	32.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	36.3	
	0.0						0.0		
Westbour	nd								
L	0.0	0.00		19.5	0.00	0.0	0.0	20.0+	
TR	0.0	0.00	35.0	31.6	0.00	0.0	0.0	34.8	
	0.0						0.0		
Northbou	ınd								
L	0.0	0.00		12.1	0.00	0.0	0.0	12.8	
TR	0.0	0.00	27.5	25.7	0.00	0.0	0.0	33.6	
	0.0						0.0		
Southbou	ınd								
L	0.0	0.00		15.9	0.00	0.0	0.0	16.6	
TR	0.0	0.00	27.5	20.9	0.00	0.0	0.0	21.2	
	0.0						0.0		

Intersection Delay 29.3 sec/veh Intersection LOS C

	E	astbound	W	estbou	ınd	No	rthbou	ınd	So	uthbou	ınd
LaneGroup	L	TR	L	TR		L	TR		L	TR	
Init Queue	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	j
Flow Rate	278	260	1113	247		160	641		79	357	j
So	1900	1900	1900	1900		1900	1900		1900	1900	į
No.Lanes	1	1 0	1	1	0	ļı	2	0	1	2	0
SL	948	1755	920	1767		719	1848		487	1836	j
LnCapacity	358	390	348	393		383	719		260	714	İ
Flow Ratio	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1		0.2	0.3		0.2	0.2	Ì
v/c Ratio	0.78	0.67	0.32	0.63		0.42	0.89		0.30	0.50	ĺ
Grn Ratio	0.38	0.22	0.38	0.22		0.53	0.39		0.53	0.39	ĺ
I Factor	İ	1.000		1.000		İ	1.000		İ	1.000	j
AT or PVG	3	3	3	3		3	3		3	3	
Pltn Ratio	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
PF2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00		1.00	1.00	
Q1	4.7	5.9	1.8	5.6		1.9	15.0		1.0	6.8	
kB	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4		0.4	0.6		0.3	0.6	
Q2	1.2	0.7	0.2	0.6		0.3	3.4		0.1	0.6	
Q Average	5.9	6.7	2.0	6.2		2.2	18.4		1.1	7.3	
Q Spacing	25.0	25.0	25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0		25.0	25.0	
Q Storage	0	0	0	0		0	0		0	0	
Q S Ratio						1					
70th Percent	ile C	Output:									
fB%	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2		1.2	1.2		1.2	1.2	ļ
BOQ	7.0	7.9	2.4	7.4		2.7	21.4		1.3	8.7	ļ
QSRatio									1		
85th Percent		_									
fB%	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5		1.6	1.5		1.6	1.5	ļ
BOQ	9.1	10.3	3.2	9.6		3.5	26.9		1.7	11.2	ļ
QSRatio						İ			ŀ		İ
90th Percent		_									ı
fB%	1.7	1.7	1.8	1.7		1.8	1.6		1.8	1.7	ļ
BOQ	10.0	11.3	3.5	10.5		3.9	28.7		1.9	12.3	
QSRatio			1					X(Ì
95th Percent		-									1
fB%	1.9	1.9	2.0	1.9		2.0	1.7		2.1	1.9	ļ
BOQ	11.4	12.8	4.1	12.0		4.5	31.6		2.2	13.9	ļ
QSRatio	-11- 0								Ţ		1
98th Percent			10 6	2		٥ -	7 0		10 6	2 2	ı
fB%		2.3	2.6 5.1	2.3		2.5	1.9		2.6	2.3 16.6	
BOQ QSRatio	13.8	15.4	l la∙⊤	14.4	ľ	5.7	35.8		2.8	T0.0	
Zarario			I		!				I		J

ERROR MESSAGES_____

No errors to report.

Highway Capacity Analysis: 93rd Avenue and U.S. 41 PM Peak

HCS+: Signalized Intersections Release 5.4

Analyst:

Agency:

Date: 9/21/2015

Period: pm peak

E/W St: 93rd

Project ID: US 41 and 93rd

Year :

Jurisd:

Inter.:

Area Type: All other areas

N/S St:

E/W St: 93rd	d					IV/ D	ъс.							
			SIC	NALI	ZED IN	TERSE	CTION	SUMMA	ARY_					
	East	tboun			stboun		Nor	thbou	ınd		Soi	ıthboı	und	Į
	L	T	R	L	Т	R	L	T	R		L	Т	Ŕ	
No. Lanes	1		0		1	0	1	2	0	-	1	2	0	ĺ
LGConfig	L	TR	V	L	TR		L	TR		İ	L	TR		
Volume			116	145	172	72	189	702	88	2	94	1111	62	
Lane Width	12.0		110		12.0		12.0	12.0			12.0	12.0		
RTOR Vol	12.0 -		0			0	İ		0	j			0	
KIOK VOI	I		Ü											
Duration	0.25		Area 7	Type:	All c	ther	areas							
				Si	gnal C	perat	ions_							
Phase Combi	nation	1	2	3	4	ļ		5		6	7	;	8	
EB Left		A	A			NB	Left 	A		A				
Thru			A				Thru			A				
Right			A			1	Right	-		A				
Peds							Peds	A		A				
WB Left		A	A			SB	Left Thru			A				
Thru			A		39		Right			A				
Right			A				Peds	-		Δ				
Peds						 EB	Right	_						
NB Right						WB	Right							
SB Right		100	20.0			WD	KIGII	9.0	3	5.0				
Green		10.0	3.0					3.0	_	. 0				
Yellow		1.0	1.0					1.0		- 0				
All Red		1.0	1.0								gth:	90.0		secs
		Tn	terse	ction	Perfo	rmanc	e Sumr	_						
Appr/ Lan			Sat		atios		Lane		p	App	roac	h		
Lane Gro			Rate											
	acity		s)	v/c	g/	√C	Delay	LOS	D	ela	y LO	S		
015 015			·											
Eastbound														
L 34	4	177		0.5		38	23.0	C	_		-			
TR 38	9	175	0	0.8	0 0.	22	44.6	D	3	6.2	D			
Westbound								_						

0.51 0.38 22.0 C 1770 L 307 36.3 D 31.0 C 0.22 0.67 1781 TR 396 Northbound 0.79 0.53 34.6 C 1770 260 L 23.3 C 25.5 0.39 3487 0.63 1356 TR Southbound 13.2 B 0.53 1770 0.32 L 318 36.1 0.93 0.39 37.9 D 3519 1369 TR

Intersection Delay = 32.1 (sec/veh) Intersection LOS = C

HCS+: Signalized Intersections Release 5.4

Phone:

Fax:

E-Mail:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS_____

Analyst:

Agency/Co.:

9/21/2015

Date Performed: 9/21/201 Analysis Time Period: pm peak

Intersection:

Area Type:

All other areas

Jurisdiction: Analysis Year:

Project ID: US 41 and 93rd

E/W St: 93rd

N/S St:

VOLUME DATA_____

	Eastbound			Wes	stbou	nd	No:	rthbo	und	Southbound		
	L	T	R	L	T	R	Ĺ	T	R	L	T	R
Volume	182	171	116	145	172	72	 189	702	88	94	1111	62
% Heavy Veh		2	2	2	2	2	12	2	2	12	2	2
PHF	0.92	_	_	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92		_	! -	0.92	0.92
PK 15 Vol	49	46	32	39	47	20	51	191	24	26	302	17
Hi Ln Vol	*-	- 0										
% Grade	İ	0		j	0		Ì	0			0	
Ideal Sat	1900	1900		1900	1900		1900	1900		1900	1900	
ParkExist	ĺ			İ			j			į		
NumPark	İ			Ì								
No. Lanes	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0
LGConfig	L	TR		L	TR		L	TR		L	TR	
Lane Width	12.0	12.0		12.0	12.0		12.0	12.0		12.0	12.0	
RTOR Vol			0			0			0	ļ		0
	198	312		158	265		205	859		102	1275	
%InSharedLn												
Prop LTs	1.000	0.00	0.0	!	0.00	0 0		0.00	0.0	į.	0.00	00
Prop RTs		.404		0.	294		0.	.112			053	
Peds Bikes				0			0			0	_	
Buses	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	
%InProtPhase		0.0	777 .		0.0			0.0				

Duration 0.25 Area Type: All other areas

OPERATING PARAMETERS_____

	Ea	Eastbound		Westbound			No	rthbou	nd	Southbound		
	L	T R		L	${f T}$	R	L	T	R	L	T R	[
	l											!
Init Unmet	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	f
Arriv. Type	3	3		3	3		3	3		3	3	
Unit Ext.	3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0		3.0	3.0	
I Factor		1.000			1.000	0	1	1.000			1.000	
Lost Time	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Ext of g	2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0		2.0	2.0	
Ped Min g		3.2		ĺ	3.2		ĺ	3.2			3.2	

Pha	se Combination	ı 1	2	3	4	I.		5	6	7	8
EB	Left Thru Right Peds	A	A A A			NB	Left Thru Right Peds	А	A A A		
WB	Left Thru Right Peds	А	A A A			SB 	Left Thru Right Peds	A	A A A		
NB	Right					EB	Right				
SB	Right					WB	Right				
		10.0 3.0 1.0	20.0 3.0 1.0			I		9.0 3.0 1.0	35.0 3.0 1.0		

Cycle Length: 90.0 secs

VOLUME ADJUSTMENT AND SATURATION FLOW WORKSHEET_____

Volume Adju	stment	5											
	Eas	stbou	nd	We	stbou	nd	No:	rthbo	und	Son	uthboi	und	
	L	${f T}$	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	L	T	R	
													.
Volume, V	182	171	116	145	172	72	189	702	88	94	1111	62	ļ
PHF	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	
Adj flow	198	186	126	158	187	78	205	763	96	102	1208	67	1 ::
No. Lanes	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	0	1	2	0	
Lane group	L	TR		L	TR		L	TR		L	TR		
Adj flow	198	312		158	265		205	859		102	1275		
Prop LTs	1.000	0.00	0.0	1.000	0.00	0.0	1.000	0.00	0.0	1.000	0.00	0.0	
Prop RTs	0.	404		0	.294] 0.	.112		0.	.053		

Satur	ation	Flow R	ate	(see Exh	ibit 1	6 - 7	to	deter	mine t	he	adjustmen	t fact	ors)
	Ea	stboun	d	₩e	stboun	d		Nor	thboun	d	Sou	thbound	đ
LG	L	TR		L	TR			L	TR		L	TR	
So	1900	1900		1900	1900			1900	1900		1900	1900	
Lanes	1	1	0	1	1	0		1	2	0	1	2	0
fW	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	
fHV	0.980	0.980		0.980	0.980			0.980	0.980		0.980	0.980	
fG	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	
fP	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	
fBB	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	
fA	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	
fLU	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	0.952		1.000	0.952	
fRT		0.939			0.956				0.983			0.992	
${ t fLT}$	0.950	1.000		0.950	1.000			0.950	1.000		0.950	1.000	
Sec.	0.296			0.222				0.103			0.174		
fLpb	1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000			1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	
fRpb		1.000			1.000				1.000			1.000	
S	1770	1750		1770	1781			1770	3487		1770	3519	
Sec.	551			413				191			325		
				CAPA	CITY AN	ND L	OS	WORKSE	EET				

Appr/	Lane	Adj Flow Rate	Adj Sat Flow Rate	Flow Ratio	Green Ratio	Lane Gr Capacity	
Mvmt	Group	(v)	(s)	(v/s)	(g/C)	(c)	Ratio
Eastbound	i						
Prot		197	1770	# 0.11	0.111	197	1.00
Perm		1	551	0.00	0.267	147	0.01
Left Prot	L	198			0.38	344	0.58
Perm							
Thru Right	TR	312	1750	# 0.18	0.22	389	0.80
Westbound	L						
Prot		158	1770	0.09	0.111	197	0.80
Perm		0	413	0.00	0.267	110	0.00
Left	L	158			0.38	307	0.51
Prot							
Perm							
Thru	TR	265	1781	0.15	0.22	396	0.67
Right							
Northboun	.d						
Prot		177	1770	# 0.10	0.100	177	1.00
Perm		28	191	0.15	0.433	83	0.34
Left	L	205			0.53	260	0.79
Prot							
Perm							
Thru	TR	859	3487	0.25	0.39	1356	0.63
Right	-						
Southboun	a	100	1.550	0.00		4 8 8	0 50
Prot		102	1770	0.06	0.100	177	0.58
Perm	_	0	325	0.00	0.433	141	0.00
Left	L	102			0.53	318	0.32
Prot							
Perm	mp.	1075	2510	# 0 36		1260	0 00
Thru Right	TR	1275	3519	# 0.36	0.39	1369	0.93

Sum of flow ratios for critical lane groups, Yc = Sum (v/s) = 0.75 Total lost time per cycle, L = 16.00 sec Critical flow rate to capacity ratio, Xc = (Yc)(C)/(C-L) = 0.91

Control Delay and LOS Determination Appr/ Ratios Unf Prog Lane Incremental Res Lane Group Approach Lane Del Adj Grp Factor Del Del Grp v/c g/C d1 Fact Cap k d2 d3 Delay LOS Delay LOS Eastbound L 0.58 0.38 20.6 1.000 344 0.17 2.4 0.0 23.0 TR 0.80 0.22 33.1 1.000 389 0.35 11.5 0.0 44.6 D 36.2 D Westbound 0.51 0.38 20.5 1.000 307 0.0 22.0 L 0.12 1.5 C 0.24 С TR 0.67 0.22 32.0 1.000 396 4.3 0.0 36.3 D 31.0 Northbound 0.79 0.53 19.6 1.000 260 0.34 14.9 0.0 34.6 C TR 0.63 0.39 22.3 1.000 1356 0.21 1.0 0.0 23.3 С 25.5 C Southbound 0.32 12.7 1.000 318 0.11 0.6 0.0 L 0.53 13.2 В 1.000 1369 36.1 D TR 0.93 0.39 26.3 0.45 11.6 0.0 37.9 D

SUPPLEMENTAL PERMITTED LT WORKSHEET

for exclusive lefts Input EΒ WB NBSB Opposed by Single(S) or Multiple(M) lane approach Cycle length, C sec Total actual green time for LT lane group, G (s) 34.0 34.0 48.0 Effective permitted green time for LT lane group, g(s) 24.0 24.0 39.0 Opposing effective green time, go (s) 20.0 35.0 35.0 Number of lanes in LT lane group, N 1 1 1 Number of lanes in opposing approach, No 1 1 2 2 Adjusted LT flow rate, VLT (veh/h) 198 158 205 102 Proportion of LT in LT lane group, PLT 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 Proportion of LT in opposing flow, PLTo 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 265 Adjusted opposing flow rate, Vo (veh/h) 312 1275 Lost time for LT lane group, tL 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 Computation LT volume per cycle, LTC=VLTC/3600 4.95 3.95 5.13 2.55 Opposing lane util. factor, fLUo 1.000 1.000 0.952 0.952 6.63 7.80 16.74 11.28 Opposing flow, Volc=VoC/[3600(No)fLUo] (veh/ln/cyc) gf=G[exp(- a * (LTC ** b))]-tl, gf<=g 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Opposing platoon ratio, Rpo (refer Exhibit 16-11) 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 0.78 0.78 0.61 0.61 Opposing Queue Ratio, qro=Max[1-Rpo(go/C),0] 12.08 14.68 32.58 18.40 gg, (see Exhibit C16-4,5,6,7,8) 11.92 9.32 6.42 20.60 gu=g-gq if gq>=gf, or = g-gf if gq<gf 6.04 7.34 16.29 9.20 n=Max(gq-gf)/2,0)PTHo=1-PLTo 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 1.00 PL*=PLT[1+(N-1)g/(gf+gu/EL1+4.24)]1.68 1.75 4.58 3.03 EL1 (refer to Exhibit C16-3) EL2=Max((1-Ptho**n)/Plto, 1.0) 0.17 0.10 0.10 fmin=2(1+PL)/g or fmin=2(1+Pl)/g0.17 gdiff=max(gq-gf,0) 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 fm = [gf/g] + [gu/g] / [1+PL(EL1-1)], (min=fmin; max=1.00) 0.30 0.22 0.10flt=fm=[gf/g]+[gu/g]/[1+PL(EL1-1)]+[gdiff/g]/[1+PL(EL2-1)],(fmin<=fm<=1.00)

For special case of single-lane approach opposed by multilane approach, see text.

SUPPLEMENTAL PERMITTED LT WORKSHEET

for shared lefts

Input

or flt=[fm+0.91(N-1)]/N** Left-turn adjustment, fLT

EB WB NB SE

0.296 0.222 0.103 0.174

Opposed by Single(S) or Multiple(M) lane approach
Cycle length, C 90.0 sec
Total actual green time for LT lane group, G (s)
Effective permitted green time for LT lane group, g(s)
Opposing effective green time, go (s)
Number of lanes in LT lane group, N

^{*} If Pl>=1 for shared left-turn lanes with N>1, then assume de-facto left-turn lane and redo calculations.

^{**} For permitted left-turns with multiple exclusive left-turn lanes, flt=fm. For special case of multilane approach opposed by single-lane approach or when gf>gq, see text.

```
Number of lanes in opposing approach, No
Adjusted LT flow rate, VLT (veh/h)
                                                      0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Proportion of LT in LT lane group, PLT
Proportion of LT in opposing flow, PLTo
Adjusted opposing flow rate, Vo (veh/h)
Lost time for LT lane group, tL
Computation
LT volume per cycle, LTC=VLTC/3600
                                                      1.000 1.000 0.952 0.952
Opposing lane util. factor, fLUo
Opposing flow, Volc=VoC/[3600(No)fLUo] (veh/ln/cyc)
qf=G[exp(-a * (LTC ** b))]-tl, qf<=q
Opposing platoon ratio, Rpo (refer Exhibit 16-11)
Opposing Queue Ratio, qro=Max[1-Rpo(go/C),0]
gg, (see Exhibit C16-4,5,6,7,8)
gu=g-gq if gq>=gf, or = g-gf if gq<gf
n=Max(gq-gf)/2,0)
PTHo=1-PLTo
PL*=PLT[1+(N-1)g/(gf+gu/EL1+4.24)]
EL1 (refer to Exhibit C16-3)
EL2=Max((1-Ptho**n)/Plto, 1.0)
fmin=2(1+PL)/g or fmin=2(1+Pl)/g
qdiff=max(gq-qf,0)
fm = [gf/g] + [gu/g] / [1+PL(EL1-1)], (min=fmin; max=1.00)
or flt=[fm+0.91(N-1)]/N**
Left-turn adjustment, fLT
For special case of single-lane approach opposed by multilane approach,
see text.
* If Pl>=1 for shared left-turn lanes with N>1, then assume de-facto
  left-turn lane and redo calculations.
** For permitted left-turns with multiple exclusive left-turn lanes, flt=fm.
For special case of multilane approach opposed by single-lane approach
or when gf>gq, see text.
               SUPPLEMENTAL PEDESTRIAN-BICYCLE EFFECTS WORKSHEET
Permitted Left Turns
                                                      EΒ
                                                            WB
                                                                  NB
                                                                        SB
Effective pedestrian green time, gp (s)
Conflicting pedestrian volume, Vped (p/h)
Pedestrian flow rate, Vpedg (p/h)
OCCpeda
Opposing queue clearing green, gq (s)
Eff. ped. green consumed by opp. veh. queue, gq/gp
OCCpedu
Opposing flow rate, Vo (veh/h)
Number of cross-street receiving lanes, Nrec
Number of turning lanes, Nturn
TdqA
Proportion of left turns, PLT
Proportion of left turns using protected phase, PLTA
Left-turn adjustment, fLpb
Permitted Right Turns
Effective pedestrian green time, gp (s)
Conflicting pedestrian volume, Vped (p/h)
Conflicting bicycle volume, Vbic (bicycles/h)
Vpedg
OCCpedg
Effective green, g (s)
Vbicg
```

OCCbicg OCCr Number of cross-street receiving lanes, Nrec Number of turning lanes, Nturn

Proportion right-turns, PRT

Proportion right-turns using protected phase, PRTA

Right turn adjustment, fRpb

SUPPLEMENTAL	UNIFORM	DELAY	WORKSHEET
--------------	---------	-------	-----------

	EBLT	WBLT	NBLT	SBLT
Cycle length, C 90.0 sec				
Adj. LT vol from Vol Adjustment Worksheet, v	198	158	205	102
v/c ratio from Capacity Worksheet, X	0.58	0.51	0.79	0.32
Protected phase effective green interval, g (s)	10.0			9.0
Opposing queue effective green interval, gq	12.08	14.68	32.58	18.40
Unopposed green interval, gu	11.92	9.32	6.42	20.60
Red time r=(C-g-gq-gu)	56.0	56.0	42.0	42.0
Arrival rate, qa=v/(3600(max[X,1.0]))	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.03
Protected ph. departure rate, Sp=s/3600	0.492	0.492	0.492	0.492
Permitted ph. departure rate, Ss=s(gq+gu)/(gu*3600)	0.31	0.30	0.32	0.17
XPerm	0.36	0.38	1.07	0.31
XProt	0.74	0.59	0.66	0.33
Case	1	1	3	1
Queue at beginning of green arrow, Qa	3.08	2.46	2.54	1.19
Queue at beginning of unsaturated green, Qu	0.66	0.64	1.86	0.52
Residual queue, Qr	0.00	0.00	0.15	0.00
Uniform Delay, d1	20.6	20.5	19.6	12.7

______DELAY/LOS WORKSHEET WITH INITIAL QUEUE______

Appr/ Lane Group	Initial Unmet Demand Q veh	Dur. Unmet Demand t hrs.	Uniform Unadj.	Adj.	Initial Queue Param. u	Final Unmet Demand Q veh	Initial Queue Delay d3 sec	Group Delay
Eastbou		_,						
Lastbou	0.0	0.00		20.6	0.00	0.0	0.0	23.0
TR	0.0	0.00	35.0	33.1	0.00	0.0	0.0	44.6
110	0.0	0.00	33.0				0.0	
	0.0							
Westbou	nd							
L	0.0	0.00		20.5	0.00	0.0	0.0	22.0
TR	0.0	0.00	35 0	32.0	0.00	0.0	0.0	36.3
	0.0						0.0	
Northbo	und							
L	0.0	0.00		19.6	0.00	0.0	0.0	34.6
TR	0.0	0.00	27 * 5	22.3	0.00	0.0	0.0	23.3
	0.0						0.0	
	_							
Southbo					0 00	0 0	0 0	12 2
L	0.0	0.00		12.7	0.00	0.0	0.0	13.2
TR	0.0	0.00	27.5	26.3	0.00	0.0	0.0	37.9
	0.0						0.0	

Intersection Delay 32.1 sec/veh Intersection LOS C

	Eastbound	Westbound	Northbound	Southbound
LaneGroup	L TR	L TR	L TR	L TR
Init Queue	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
Flow Rate	198 312	158 265	205 451	102 669
So	1900 1900	1900 1900	1900 1900	1900 1900
No.Lanes	1 1 0	j1 1 0	1 2 0	1 2 0
SL	910 1750	812 1781	487 1831	596 1848
LnCapacity	344 389	307 396	260 712	318 719
Flow Ratio	0.2 0.2	0.2 0.1	0.4 0.2	0.2 0.4
v/c Ratio	0.58 0.80	0.51 0.67	0.79 0.63	0.32 0.93
Grn Ratio	0.38 0.22	0.38 0.22	0.53 0.39	0.53 0.39
I Factor	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000
AT or PVG	3 3	3 3	3 3	3 3
Pltn Ratio	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00
PF2	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00 1.00
Q1	3.3 7.4	2.6 6.1	2.6 9.1	1.2 16.0
kB	0.4 0.4	0.3 0.4	0.3 0.6	0.3 0.6
Q2	0.5 1.4	0.4 0.8	1.0 0.9	0.2 4.4
Q Average	3.8 8.8	3.0 6.8	3.6 10.1	1.4 20.4
Q Spacing	25.0 25.0	25.0 25.0	25.0 25.0	25.0 25.0
Q Storage	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0
Q S Ratio				
70th Percent	tile Output:			
fB%	1.2 1.2	1.2 1.2	1.2 1.2	1.2 1.2
BOQ	4.5 10.4	3.5 8.1	4.3 11.9	1.7 23.7
QSRatio				
85th Percent	tile Output:			
fB%	1.6 1.5	1.6 1.5	1.6 1.5	1.6 1.5
BOQ	5.9 13.4	4.7 10.5	5.6 15.3	2.2 29.7
QSRatio				I I
	tile Output:	_	1	11015
fB%	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.7	1.7 1.6	1.8 1.5 2.5 31.6
BOQ	6.5 14.5	5.2 11.5	6.2 16.6	2.5 31.6
QSRatio				1
	tile Output:		10010	10117
fB%	2.0 1.9	2.0 1.9	2.0 1.8	2.1 1.7 2.9 34.6
BOQ	7.5 16.4	5.9 13.0	7.2 18.6	2.9 34.6
QSRatio	 			1
	tile Output:	1	10 5 0 0	12 6 1 0
fB%	2.4 2.2	2.5 2.3	2.5 2.2	2.6 1.9
BOQ	9.2 19.4	7.4 15.6	8.8 21.8	3.6 39.0
QSRatio			1	I

ERROR MESSAGES_____

No errors to report.

	T.	
	92	